

RESEARCH METHOD FOR CONSTRUCTION Complete Guide

Research Method for Construction is a critical aspect of ensuring project success, sustainability, and efficiency in the construction industry. As the industry evolves with technological advancements and increasing demands for sustainable practices, adopting effective research methods becomes essential for professionals, researchers, and stakeholders alike. A well-structured research approach helps identify best practices, understand industry challenges, evaluate new materials and techniques, and ultimately contribute to safer, more cost-effective, and environmentally friendly construction processes. This article explores the various research methods applicable to construction, emphasizing their significance, techniques, and how they can be leveraged to enhance project outcomes.

Understanding the Importance of Research Methods in Construction

Research in construction is fundamental for innovation, risk mitigation, quality assurance, and compliance with regulations. It provides empirical evidence that guides decision-making, informs policy development, and supports technological integration. Effective research methods ensure that data collection and analysis are reliable, valid, and applicable to real-world scenarios.

Types of Research Methods in Construction

Construction research can broadly be categorized into qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method approaches. Each has its unique objectives, tools, and applications.

Qualitative Research Methods

Qualitative methods focus on understanding perceptions, behaviors, and contextual factors influencing construction practices.

- **Interviews:** Conducting structured or semi-structured interviews with industry experts, workers, or stakeholders to gather insights on challenges, innovations, or safety practices.
- **Focus Groups:** Facilitating group discussions among professionals to explore opinions on new construction methods or materials.
- **Case Studies:** In-depth analysis of specific construction projects to identify success factors, pitfalls, and lessons learned.
- **Document Analysis:** Reviewing project reports, safety records, and policy documents to

understand industry trends and compliance issues.

Quantitative Research Methods

Quantitative methods involve numerical data collection and statistical analysis to identify patterns and relationships.

- **Surveys and Questionnaires:** Distributing structured questionnaires to collect data on construction practices, safety incidents, or workforce demographics.
- **Experimental Studies:** Conducting controlled experiments to test the performance of construction materials or techniques under specific conditions.
- **Data Analysis of Construction Projects:** Utilizing project management software to analyze cost, schedule, and resource data across multiple projects.
- **Statistical Modeling:** Developing models to predict project outcomes, risk factors, or material performance.

Mixed-Method Approach

Combining qualitative and quantitative methods provides a comprehensive understanding of construction phenomena. For example, a study might include surveys (quantitative) complemented by interviews (qualitative) to explore both measurable data and contextual insights.

Key Research Techniques in Construction

Selecting appropriate research techniques depends on the research questions, objectives, and available resources. Below are some common techniques used in construction research.

Literature Review

A foundational step, literature reviews involve systematically analyzing existing research, industry reports, standards, and guidelines to identify gaps and formulate research questions. This step ensures that new research builds upon existing knowledge.

Field Surveys and Data Collection

Field surveys gather firsthand data from construction sites, workers, or clients. Techniques include on-site observations, measurements, and interviews. Proper planning ensures data accuracy and relevance.

Laboratory Testing

Laboratory experiments test construction materials, such as concrete strength or durability. Standardized tests (e.g., ASTM or ISO) ensure consistency and comparability of results.

Simulation and Modeling

Using software tools like Building Information Modeling (BIM), finite element analysis (FEA), or other simulation platforms allows researchers to test design concepts, assess structural integrity, and optimize resources virtually before physical implementation.

Case Study Analysis

Analyzing specific projects provides real-world insights into construction practices, challenges, and solutions. Case studies often involve detailed documentation, interviews, and performance assessments.

Implementing Effective Construction Research Strategies

A successful research process involves careful planning and execution. The following steps outline a strategic approach.

Define Clear Objectives and Research Questions

Establish specific, measurable objectives to guide the research process. Clear questions help determine appropriate methods and tools.

Design the Research Framework

Choose suitable research methods (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed), sampling techniques, and data collection instruments aligned with objectives.

Data Collection and Analysis

Ensure data integrity through standardized procedures. Use statistical software for analysis, and validate findings through cross-checks or peer review.

Interpretation and Reporting

Interpret results in the context of industry relevance. Prepare comprehensive reports that include methodology, findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Apply Findings to Practice

Translate research outcomes into actionable strategies, policies, or innovations that improve construction processes and outcomes.

Challenges and Considerations in Construction Research

While research methods are vital, practitioners must be aware of potential challenges.

- **Data Accessibility:** Obtaining accurate and comprehensive data can be difficult due to confidentiality or proprietary restrictions.
- **Resource Constraints:** Funding, time, and expertise limitations may impact the scope of research.
- **Rapid Industry Changes:** Technological advancements require continuous adaptation of research methods.
- **Ethical Considerations:** Ensuring safety and confidentiality when collecting data from workers and projects.

Emerging Trends in Construction Research Methods

The construction industry is embracing innovative research techniques to keep pace with technological progress.

Big Data and Data Analytics

Leveraging large datasets from sensors, drones, and project management systems to analyze patterns and predict project risks.

Building Information Modeling (BIM)

Using BIM as a research tool to simulate construction processes, optimize designs, and enhance collaboration.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Applying AI algorithms to analyze complex datasets, automate decision-making, and improve predictive accuracy.

Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR)

Utilizing VR and AR for immersive training, design visualization, and safety simulations.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of construction projects significantly depends on the robustness of research methods employed. From qualitative insights to quantitative data analysis, choosing the right research approach enables stakeholders to make informed decisions, innovate, and improve project delivery. As the industry continues to evolve with emerging technologies, staying updated on advanced research techniques is vital. Whether conducting case studies, experiments, surveys, or leveraging digital tools like BIM and AI, a strategic and methodical research approach ensures that construction practices are sustainable, efficient, and aligned with industry standards. Embracing comprehensive research methods ultimately leads to safer, more resilient, and cost-effective construction projects that meet the demands of the modern world.

Research Method for Construction: A Comprehensive Guide to Effective Methodologies

In the dynamic and complex world of construction, employing a robust research method for construction is essential to ensure project success, sustainability, safety, and innovation. Whether you're a project manager, engineer, architect, or researcher, understanding and applying the right research methodologies can significantly influence decision-making, resource allocation, and overall project outcomes. This guide aims to explore the fundamental principles, types, and practical steps involved in crafting an effective research method tailored specifically for the construction industry.

Understanding the Importance of a Research Method in Construction

Construction projects are intricate endeavors involving multiple stakeholders, technical challenges, and regulatory considerations. A well-structured research method helps uncover insights, identify best practices, evaluate new materials or techniques, and address industry-specific issues. It ensures that decisions are data-driven, reliable, and aligned with project goals.

Key reasons to adopt a solid research method include:

- Reducing risks and uncertainties
- Improving project efficiency and cost-effectiveness
- Enhancing safety standards
- Promoting sustainability and environmental responsibility
- Supporting innovation and technological advancements

Types of Research Methods in Construction

The construction industry employs various research methods, often tailored to specific objectives. Broadly, these can be categorized into qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods.

- Qualitative Research Methods

Qualitative methods focus on understanding phenomena, behaviors, motivations, and perceptions. They are valuable when exploring new concepts or understanding stakeholder opinions.

Examples include:

- Case studies of construction projects
- Interviews with industry experts and workers
- Focus groups with clients or community members
- Observational studies of construction sites

- Quantitative Research Methods

Quantitative methods involve numerical data collection and statistical analysis, ideal for measuring variables, testing hypotheses, and establishing patterns.

Examples include:

- Surveys with structured questionnaires
- Cost analysis and financial modeling
- Performance metrics and productivity measurements
- Material testing and laboratory experiments

- Mixed Methods

Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches provides a comprehensive understanding. For instance, surveys (quantitative) can be complemented by interviews (qualitative) to contextualize findings.

Developing a Research Framework for Construction Projects

Creating an effective research framework involves systematic planning. Here are the key steps:

Step 1: Define Clear Objectives

Identify what you aim to discover or solve. Objectives should be specific, measurable, achievable,

relevant, and time-bound (SMART).

Example:

"Assess the impact of new sustainable materials on construction project costs and timelines."

Step 2: Conduct a Literature Review

Review existing research, industry reports, standards, and case studies to understand the current knowledge landscape, identify gaps, and refine your research questions.

Step 3: Choose Appropriate Research Methods

Select methods aligned with your objectives and available resources. Consider factors such as:

- Nature of the data needed
- Time constraints
- Budget limitations
- Expertise of the research team

Step 4: Design Data Collection Instruments

Develop questionnaires, interview guides, observation checklists, or experimental protocols ensuring clarity, validity, and reliability.

Step 5: Select Sample and Data Collection Sites

Decide on the sample size, sampling technique (random, purposive, stratified), and locations to ensure representativeness and relevance.

Step 6: Collect Data

Execute data collection ethically, maintaining accuracy and consistency. Document any deviations or challenges faced.

Step 7: Analyze Data

Apply suitable analytical tools:

- Statistical software for quantitative data (SPSS, R, Excel)
- Thematic analysis for qualitative data
- Comparative analysis for case studies

Step 8: Interpret Findings

Relate results back to your research questions, industry context, and practical implications. Recognize limitations and areas for further research.

Practical Considerations in Construction Research

While designing and executing research, keep in mind the industry-specific considerations:

Regulatory Compliance

Ensure research adheres to local building codes, safety standards, and ethical guidelines.

Stakeholder Engagement

Involve clients, contractors, regulators, and community members early to gather diverse perspectives.

Data Reliability and Validity

Use validated instruments and triangulate data sources to enhance credibility.

Safety and Ethical Concerns

Prioritize safety during site visits or experiments. Obtain necessary permissions and ensure confidentiality.

Common Challenges and How to Overcome Them

| Challenge | Solution |

|---|---|

| Access to construction sites | Establish partnerships early, schedule visits in advance |

| Data confidentiality | Use anonymized data and confidentiality agreements |

| Variability of construction processes | Standardize data collection protocols |

| Time constraints | Plan meticulously and prioritize critical data points |

Case Study: Applying Research Method in Construction Sustainability

Objective:

Evaluate the effectiveness of green building materials in reducing energy consumption.

Methodology:

- Literature review on sustainable materials
- Quantitative surveys of building performance data from existing projects
- Interviews with architects and engineers about material selection processes
- Comparative analysis of energy bills pre- and post-construction

Outcome:

Identified best-performing materials, cost savings, and recommendations for wider adoption.

Future Trends in Construction Research Methods

As technology advances, so do research methodologies:

- Building Information Modeling (BIM): Facilitates virtual simulations and data analysis
- Drones and IoT Sensors: Collect real-time site data and monitor progress
- Artificial Intelligence: Analyze complex datasets for predictive insights
- Big Data Analytics: Harness large datasets for industry-wide trends

Embracing these innovations will enhance the depth, accuracy, and applicability of construction research.

Conclusion

The research method for construction is a cornerstone for informed decision-making, innovation, and sustainable development within the industry. A systematic approach encompassing clear objectives, appropriate methodology selection, rigorous data collection, and thoughtful analysis can significantly elevate project outcomes and industry standards. By continuously refining research

techniques and leveraging emerging technologies, construction professionals can address current challenges and pioneer future advancements in the built environment.

Remember: Effective research is not just about collecting data; it's about asking the right questions, choosing suitable methods, and translating insights into actionable strategies for a safer, more sustainable, and innovative construction industry.

Question What are the most common research methods used in construction industry studies? The most common research methods include surveys, case studies, experimental research, observational studies, and simulation modeling, each suited to different research objectives within construction. How does qualitative research contribute to construction research methodologies? Qualitative research provides in-depth insights into construction processes, stakeholder perspectives, and organizational behaviors, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of complex construction phenomena. What role does quantitative analysis play in construction research? Quantitative analysis enables researchers to measure, analyze, and interpret numerical data related to costs, durations, safety incidents, and performance metrics, supporting evidence-based decision-making. Which research methods are best suited for exploring sustainable construction practices? Case studies, comparative analyses, and surveys are effective for examining sustainable practices, as they allow for detailed investigation of real-world applications and stakeholder perceptions. How can mixed-method research benefit construction studies? Mixed-method research combines qualitative and quantitative approaches, providing a more comprehensive understanding of construction issues by capturing both numerical data and contextual insights. What are the challenges associated with conducting experimental research in construction? Challenges include high costs, safety concerns, environment control difficulties, and the complexity of replicating real-world construction conditions in controlled experiments. How can case study research enhance understanding of construction project management? Case studies offer detailed examination of specific projects, revealing best practices, challenges, and lessons learned that can inform future project management strategies. What role does technology play in modern research methods for construction? Technology such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), drones, sensors, and data analytics enhances data collection, analysis, and simulation, making research more accurate and efficient. How important is stakeholder engagement in construction research methods? Engaging stakeholders ensures that research addresses real needs, improves data validity, and facilitates the implementation of research findings into practice.

Related keywords: construction research, building methodology, construction engineering, project management, structural analysis, construction techniques, surveying methods, construction materials, site investigation, project planning

Regula Falsi Method Advantages And Disadvantages

Regula Falsi Method Advantages and Disadvantages

The regula falsi method, also known as the false position method, is a numerical technique used to find approximate solutions to equations where the root is unknown. It is a bracketing method that combines the features of the bisection method and the secant method, aiming to improve convergence speed while maintaining reliability. Like any numerical approach, it offers a set of advantages that make it appealing for certain applications, but it also exhibits notable disadvantages that can limit its effectiveness in specific scenarios. Understanding these benefits and drawbacks is crucial for practitioners to decide when and how to employ the regula falsi method effectively.

Advantages of the Regula Falsi Method

1. Guaranteed Convergence Under Certain Conditions

One of the primary advantages of the regula falsi method is its guaranteed convergence, provided that the initial interval brackets a root and the function is continuous. Since the method always maintains an interval where the function changes sign, it ensures that the root lies within the bounds during each iteration. This reliability makes it a safe choice compared to open methods like the secant method, which may not always converge.

2. Simplicity of Implementation

The regula falsi method is straightforward to implement computationally. Its core involves calculating a linear approximation between two points and updating the interval based on the sign of the function at the approximated root. The simplicity of the algorithm makes it accessible even for beginners and easy to incorporate into larger computational routines or software.

3. Faster Convergence Than the Bisection Method

Compared to the bisection method, which halves the interval in each iteration, regula falsi often converges more quickly because it uses a secant-like approach to estimate the root. By adjusting the interval based on a linear approximation, it tends to reduce the number of iterations needed, especially when the initial interval is well-chosen and the function behaves approximately linearly near the root.

4. Fewer Function Evaluations Than Bisection

While both methods require function evaluations at each step, regula falsi often achieves a desired accuracy with fewer evaluations compared to bisection. This efficiency can be particularly valuable when function evaluations are computationally expensive or time-consuming.

5. Flexibility With Different Types of Functions

The method is applicable to a wide range of functions, including nonlinear and complex functions, as long as the initial interval brackets a root. Its reliance on linear approximation rather than derivatives makes it suitable even when derivative information is unavailable or difficult to compute.

Disadvantages of the Regula Falsi Method

1. Slow or Stagnant Convergence in Certain Cases

Despite its faster convergence relative to bisection, regula falsi can experience slow progress or stagnation, especially when the function exhibits certain behaviors. For example, if one endpoint of the interval is close to the root and the other is far, the method may repeatedly refine the approximation near one side without significantly improving the estimate overall. This phenomenon is known as "stagnation" and can lead to an impractical number of iterations.

2. Sensitivity to the Initial Interval

The effectiveness of the regula falsi method heavily depends on the choice of the initial bracketing interval. If the initial interval does not contain a root or is poorly chosen, the method may fail to converge or converge very slowly. The method requires a good initial approximation to be efficient and reliable.

3. Potential for Non-Progressive Iterations

In some cases, the method can get "stuck" or make negligible improvements over iterations. This occurs when the linear approximation becomes poor due to the nonlinear nature of the function, especially near inflection points or discontinuities. As a result, the method might oscillate or hover without substantial progress towards the root.

4. Not as Fast as Higher-Order Methods

Compared to methods like Newton-Raphson or secant methods, regula falsi generally converges more slowly because it is a bracketing method with linear convergence. For functions where derivative information is available and the function behaves well, higher-order methods can achieve quadratic or superlinear convergence, making regula falsi less efficient.

5. Computational Overhead in Some Variants

While the basic regula falsi method is simple, some advanced variants attempt to improve convergence by modifying how the new approximation is computed. These variants can introduce

additional computational steps or complexity, which may negate some of the simplicity advantages of the original method.

Summary of Advantages and Disadvantages

Summary of Advantages

- Guaranteed convergence when the initial interval brackets a root
- Simple to implement and understand
- Generally faster than the bisection method
- Requires fewer function evaluations compared to bisection in many cases
- Applicable to a wide range of functions without needing derivatives

Summary of Disadvantages

- Can experience slow convergence or stagnation in certain functions
- Highly dependent on the choice of initial interval
- May get stuck or oscillate without significant progress
- Slower convergence compared to higher-order methods like Newton-Raphson
- Potential additional computational complexity in advanced variants

Conclusion

The regula falsi method remains a valuable tool in the numerical analyst's toolkit, especially when robustness and guaranteed convergence are prioritized over speed. Its advantages?such as simplicity, reliability, and efficiency?make it suitable for a variety of problems, particularly when derivative information is unavailable or the function is complex. However, its disadvantages, including potential stagnation and sensitivity to initial conditions, mean that practitioners should exercise caution and consider alternative methods if rapid convergence is necessary or if the function exhibits challenging behavior. Understanding the balance between these advantages and disadvantages allows for more informed method selection and more effective problem-solving in numerical root-finding tasks.

Regula Falsi Method Advantages and Disadvantages

The regula falsi method, also known as the false position method, is a popular numerical technique used for finding roots of continuous functions. This iterative method provides an efficient way to approximate solutions to equations where analytical methods may be cumbersome or impossible. Its simplicity, combined with its ability to converge quickly under certain conditions, makes it a preferred

choice among mathematicians, engineers, and scientists. However, like any numerical technique, the regula falsi method also has its limitations and drawbacks that are essential to understand for effective application.

Understanding the Regula Falsi Method

Before diving into its advantages and disadvantages, it's important to understand the basic concept of the regula falsi method.

Basic Concept

The regula falsi method is based on the idea of linear interpolation. Given a continuous function $f(x)$ and two points a and b such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs (indicating a root lies between them), the method approximates the root by drawing a straight line connecting the points $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$. The intersection of this line with the x-axis provides a new approximation of the root, which then replaces either a or b based on the sign of the function at this intersection.

Step-by-Step Process

- Choose initial points a and b such that $f(a) \times f(b) < 0$
- Calculate the point c where the straight line connecting $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$ intersects the x-axis:

[

$$c = b - \frac{f(b)(a - b)}{f(a) - f(b)}$$

]

- Evaluate $f(c)$. If $f(c)$ is sufficiently close to zero or within a desired tolerance, c is taken as the root.
- Otherwise, replace either a or b with c , depending on the sign of $f(c)$, and repeat the process.

Advantages of the Regula Falsi Method

Despite being a relatively simple numerical root-finding technique, the regula falsi method offers several notable advantages that make it appealing for various applications.

1. Simplicity and Ease of Implementation

- The regula falsi method relies on straightforward calculations involving linear interpolation, making it easy to implement even for beginners.
- No complex mathematical concepts or advanced programming skills are necessary, which allows for quick coding and testing.

2. Guaranteed Convergence under Certain Conditions

- When the initial interval $[a, b]$ contains a root and f is continuous, the method guarantees convergence to a root, provided the initial guesses are chosen appropriately.
- Unlike some methods, such as the secant method, the regula falsi method always converges if the initial bracketing is correct.

3. Faster than Bisection in Many Cases

- Since regula falsi uses linear interpolation, it often converges more rapidly than the bisection method, which simply bisects the interval regardless of the function's behavior.
- Especially when the function is well-behaved near the root, the method can achieve desired accuracy in fewer iterations.

4. No Need for Derivatives

- Unlike Newton-Raphson, the regula falsi method does not require the computation of derivatives, making it suitable for functions where derivatives are difficult or expensive to evaluate.

5. Suitable for Functions with Multiple Roots

- The method can be adapted to find multiple roots within a given interval by applying it iteratively over different subintervals.

Disadvantages of the Regula Falsi Method

While the regula falsi method has its merits, it also suffers from several significant drawbacks that can limit its effectiveness in certain scenarios.

1. Slow or Non-Uniform Convergence in Some Cases

- The method can experience "stalling" or very slow convergence when one endpoint remains fixed during iterations, especially if the function behaves poorly near the root.
- This occurs when the new approximation continually replaces only one endpoint, leading to a linear convergence rate similar to the bisection method rather than quadratic.

2. Dependence on Good Initial Brackets

- The success and efficiency of the method heavily depend on choosing initial points a and b such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs.
- Poor choices can lead to divergence, stagnation, or convergence to an incorrect root.

3. Potential for Stagnation

- In some cases, particularly with functions that are nearly flat or have multiple roots close to each other, the method may "stall," where the approximations do not improve significantly over iterations.
- This stagnation is problematic when quick convergence is desired.

4. Limited to Continuous Functions with Sign Changes

- The regula falsi method requires a continuous function with a known sign change over the interval. It is not suitable for functions that are discontinuous or do not satisfy this condition.
- Additional preliminary analysis is often necessary before applying the method.

5. Numerical Instability and Precision Issues

- When $f(a) \approx f(b)$, the denominator in the interpolation formula becomes very small, leading to potential numerical instability.
- This can cause inaccuracies or divergence in the root approximation, especially when working with floating-point arithmetic.

Features and Variants

To address some of the shortcomings of the basic regula falsi method, several variants have been developed:

- Illinois Method: Adjusts the weight of the fixed endpoint to improve convergence.
- Pegasus Method: Uses a modified interpolation formula for faster convergence.
- Modified Regula Falsi: Incorporates dynamic updates to endpoints to prevent stagnation.

Each of these variants aims to retain the simplicity of the original method while improving convergence speed and stability.

Practical Applications and Suitability

The regula falsi method is particularly useful in scenarios where:

- The function is continuous and the initial bracket is known.
- Derivative information is unavailable or expensive to compute.
- Quick implementation and results are required.

However, for functions with complex behavior, multiple roots, or where high precision is crucial, other methods like Newton-Raphson or secant might be more appropriate.

Conclusion

The regula falsi method remains a fundamental numerical technique for root-finding due to its simplicity and guaranteed convergence under the right conditions. Its strengths lie in its straightforward implementation, no requirement for derivatives, and often faster convergence than bisection, especially for well-behaved functions. Nevertheless, it faces notable challenges, such as potential slow convergence, stagnation issues, and sensitivity to the initial interval selection.

For practitioners, understanding both the advantages and limitations of the regula falsi method is vital for its effective application. When combined with strategic initial guesses and possible variants, it can serve as a powerful tool in the numerical analyst's toolkit. However, for more complex functions or demanding precision, alternative methods or hybrid approaches may offer better performance. Overall, the regula falsi method exemplifies the balance between simplicity and effectiveness in numerical root-finding techniques.

Question What are the main advantages of the regula falsi method? The regula falsi method is simple to implement, converges more reliably than some other methods for certain functions, and guarantees a root within the initial interval as long as the function is continuous and the initial guesses bracket the root. What are the disadvantages of using the regula falsi method? One major disadvantage is that it can converge slowly, especially if the function is flat near the root, and it may get stuck with one endpoint not moving if the function's values at the interval ends do not change significantly. How does the regula falsi method compare to the bisection method in terms of

efficiency? While the regula falsi method often converges faster than the bisection method due to its use of linear interpolation, it can sometimes suffer from slow convergence or stagnation, unlike the guaranteed steady convergence of bisection. Can the regula falsi method be used for all types of functions? The method works best for continuous functions where the initial interval brackets a root; however, for functions with multiple roots or discontinuities, its effectiveness may be limited or require modifications. What are some improvements or variants of the regula falsi method to overcome its disadvantages? Variants like the Illinois and Anderson methods introduce modifications to the regula falsi technique to accelerate convergence and prevent stagnation, making the root-finding process more efficient. Is the regula falsi method suitable for automated or iterative root-finding algorithms? Yes, it is suitable for automated algorithms due to its straightforward implementation, but care must be taken to monitor convergence speed and avoid stagnation, especially for challenging functions.

Related keywords: regula falsi method, false position method, numerical analysis, root finding, bracketing methods, convergence rate, advantages, disadvantages, iterative methods, computational efficiency

Read the full article online: [Regula Falsi Method Advantages And Disadvantages](#)